### Multi-body NRSfM (NRSfM Challenge 2017)

Suryansh Kumar, Yuchao Dai, Hongdong Li

#### July 26, 2017 ©Authors







- **2** Spatial-Temporal Representation
- **3** Joint Optimization Formulation
- **4** Experiments and Results

Why Multi-body NRSfM Representation?

• Real-world scene consist of multiple deforming objects. For example: pedestrians, soccer match, human interaction and etc.

Goal:

• To segment and reconstruct multiple deforming objects in a scene, simultaneously.

Baseline strategy:

- Two-stage approach:
  - motion segmentation followed by non-rigid reconstruction
  - non-rigid reconstruction followed by motion segmentation.

- To better exploit the inherent structure of the problem
  - $\Rightarrow$  Motion segmentation benefits reconstruction
  - $\Rightarrow$  Reconstruction benefits motion segmentation
- Both tasks can be solved efficiently within a single optimization.
- Computationally and numerically efficient.

To exploit the intrinsic structure both spatially and temporally, we propose the spatial-temporal representation for complex non-rigid reconstruction.

- Spatial Clustering  $\Rightarrow$  Provides motion segmentation cues
- Temporal Clustering  $\Rightarrow$  Benefits 3D reconstruction
- Spatial Clustering exploits Trajectory space.
- Temporal Clustering exploits Shape space.

Classical NRSfM Representation

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{RS},$$
 where  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{2F imes 3F},$   $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{3F imes P}$ 

 $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{2F \times P} \Rightarrow$  Measurement matrix.

- $\mathbf{S} \Rightarrow$  Shape matrix.
- $\mathbf{R} \Rightarrow$  Rotation matrix (Orthographic Camera Model).

(1)

### **Trajectory Space**

Representation of multiple non-rigid deformation in the trajectory space.

$$S = SC_1, \operatorname{diag}(C_1) = 0, 1^T C_1 = 1^T.$$
  

$$S \in \mathbb{R}^{3F \times P}, C_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times P}.$$
(2)



Figure: Illustration of trajectory space

NRSFM Challenge CVPR 2017

### Shape Space

Representation of multiple non-rigid deformation in the shape space.

$$S^{\sharp} = S^{\sharp}C_{2}, \operatorname{diag}(C_{2}) = 0, 1^{T}C_{2} = 1^{T}.$$
  

$$S^{\sharp} \in \mathbb{R}^{3P \times F}, C_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{F \times F}.$$
(3)

 $\Rightarrow$  Intuition [Cluster distinct activity (Ex: Dance, Yoga)]



#### **Visual illustration**



Figure: Intuition of spatial-temporal clustering.

NRSFM Challenge CVPR 2017

• Objective from the trajectory space

minimize 
$$\lambda_1 \| C_1 \|_1 + \frac{(1-\lambda_1)}{2} \| C_1 \|_F^2$$
  
subject to:  
 $S = SC_1, \operatorname{diag}(C_1) = 0, 1^T C_1 = 1^T, \lambda_1 \in [0, 1].$ 
(4)

• Objective from the shape space

minimize 
$$\lambda_3 \|C_2\|_1 + \frac{(1-\lambda_3)}{2} \|C_2\|_F^2$$
  
subject to:  
 $S^{\sharp} = S^{\sharp}C_2, \operatorname{diag}(C_2) = 0, 1^T C_2 = 1^T, \lambda_3 \in [0, 1].$ 
(5)

#### **Joint Optimization Formulation**

Overall Objective ⇒ solved using ADMM

$$\begin{split} & \underset{S,C_{1},C_{2}}{\text{minimize}} \; \frac{1}{2} \| W - RS \|_{F}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \| C_{1} \|_{1} + \frac{1 - \lambda_{1}}{2} \| C_{1} \|_{F}^{2} + \lambda_{2} \| S^{\sharp} \|_{*} + \\ & \lambda_{3} \| C_{2} \|_{1} + \frac{1 - \lambda_{3}}{2} \| C_{2} \|_{F}^{2}. \\ & \text{subject to:} \\ & S = SC_{1}, S^{\sharp} = S^{\sharp} C_{2}, \\ & 1^{T} C_{1} = 1^{T}, 1^{T} C_{2} = 1^{T}, \\ & \text{diag}(C_{1}) = 0, \text{diag}(C_{2}) = 0, \\ & \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3} \in [0, 1]. \end{split}$$

where  $S^{\sharp} \in \mathbb{R}^{3P \times F}$ ,  $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times P}$ , and  $C_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{F \times F}$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  are the trade-off parameters.

3

(6)

#### **Experiments and Results**

• Advantage over two stage approach







(a) NRSfM  $\Rightarrow$  SSC [2]

(b) SSC [2]  $\Rightarrow$  NRSfM

(c) Our approach

#### Qualitative results on synthetic sequence

• Two deforming objects are intersecting each other.



### Qualitative results(Cont.)

• Two deforming objects are well separated in space.



UMPM dataset [10] is composed of real-image tracks.

Datasets	BMM[1]	PND[9]	Zhu et al.[11]	Kumar et al.[8]	Ours
p2_free_2	0.1973	0.1544	0.1142	0.1992	0.1171
p2_grab_2	0.2018	0.1570	0.0960	0.2080	0.0822
p3_ball_1	0.1356	0.1477	0.0832	0.1348	0.0810
p4_meet_12	0.0802	0.0862	0.0972	0.0821	0.0815
p4_table_12	0.2313	0.1588	0.1322	0.2313	0.0994

**Table:** Performance comparison on real benchmark UMPM dataset [10] (showing relative 3D reconstruction error).

Datasets	BMM[1]	PND[9]	Zhu et al.[11]	Kumar et al.[8]	Ours
Face Seq.1	0.078	0.077	0.082	0.075	0.073
Face Seq.2	0.059	0.062	0.063	0.050	0.052
Face Seq.3	0.042	0.051	0.057	0.038	0.039
Face Seq.4	0.049	0.041	0.056	0.044	0.040

**Table:** Performance comparison on real benchmark dense face dataset [3] (showing relative 3D reconstruction error).

## Evaluation result on NRSfM challenge dataset for test frame.

• Mean RMS (in mm) for orthogonal category.

Datasets	Articulated	Balloon	Paper	Stretch	Tearing
Our Method	10.15	10.64	15.78	9.96	14.17

**Table:** Performance on the NRSFM challenge dataset on all provided sequence for *single* test image provided by the challenge organizers.

• Note: We submitted results for two methods. Numerically, both of them performed with similar reconstruction accuracy [8] [7].

# Performance comparison with other top 3 performing algorithms on NRSfM challenge dataset.

• Mean RMS (in mm) for orthogonal category.

Datasets	Articulated	Balloon	Paper	Stretch	Tearing	Mean
Multibody[7]	45.51	14.55	22.88	18.30	21.98	24.64
CSF2 [5]	35.51	19.01	33.95	23.22	18.77	26.09
RIKS [6]	42.11	18.45	32.18	22.88	18.12	26.75
KSTA [4]	36.63	24.88	31.96	24.25	17.59	26.86

**Table:** Note: These evaluations were done by the organizers of NRSfM challenge at CVPR 2017.



Suryansh Kumar, Yuchao Dai, Hongdong Li

July 26, 2017 ©Authors

19 / 22

э

#### Thanks

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

#### **References** I

[1] Y. Dai, H. Li, and M. He.

A simple prior-free method for non-rigid structure-from-motion factorization. International Journal of Computer Vision, 107(2):101–122, 2014.

[2] E. Elhamifar and R. Vidal.

Sparse subspace clustering: Algorithm, theory, and applications.

IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 35(11):2765-2781, 2013.

[3] R. Garg, A. Roussos, and L. Agapito.

Dense variational reconstruction of non-rigid surfaces from monocular video. In Proc. IEEE Conf. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 1272–1279, 2013.

[4] P. Gotardo and A. Martinez.Kernel non-rigid structure from motion.

In Proc. IEEE Int'l Conf. Computer Vision, pages 802-809, 2011.

[5] P. Gotardo and A. Martinez.

Non-rigid structure from motion with complementary rank-3 spaces.

- In Proc. IEEE Conf. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 3065–3072, 2011.
- [6] O. C. Hamsici, P. F. Gotardo, and A. M. Martinez.
   Learning spatially-smooth mappings in non-rigid structure from motion.
  - In European Conference on Computer Vision, pages 260-273. Springer, 2012.

#### [7] S. Kumar, Y. Dai, and H.Li.

Spatio-temporal union of subspaces for multi-body non-rigid structure-from-motion. Pattern Recognition, 71:428–443, May 2017.

[8] S. Kumar, Y. Dai, and H. Li.

Multi-body non-rigid structure-from-motion.

In 3D Vision (3DV), 2016 Fourth International Conference on, pages 148–156. IEEE, 2016.

[9] M. Lee, J. Cho, C.-H. Choi, and S. Oh.

Procrustean normal distribution for non-rigid structure from motion.

In Proc. IEEE Conf. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 1280–1287, 2013.

[10] N. van der Aa, X. Luo, G. Giezeman, R. Tan, and R. Veltkamp.

Umpm benchmark: A multi-person dataset with synchronized video and motion capture data for evaluation of articulated human motion and interaction.

In Computer Vision Workshops (ICCV Workshops), 2011 IEEE International Conference on, pages 1264–1269, Nov 2011.

[11] Y. Zhu, D. Huang, F. De La Torre, and S. Lucey.

Complex non-rigid motion 3d reconstruction by union of subspaces.

In IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 1542-1549, 2014.

- 4 E

Image: Image: